**Outline proposal for systematic review**

(500-1500 words)

# Working title of review project

First AUTHOR1\*, Second AUTHOR2#, Third AUTHOR3#, […]
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*3 Institution, Address, Town, Country*

*\*Corresponding author, email address*

*#author participating or presenting at workshop*

|  |
| --- |
| ***Note:*** Please fill in the sections below to summarize the intended systematic review project. If you are not yet familiar with systematic review methodologies, you may leave out the methodological section. The workshop will also involve a training component that will enable you to do so after the workshop. This outline proposal will be further developed after the workshop for submission to a special issue collection in Environmental Research Letters by the end of the year. If the proposal is approved by the Editorial Board of ERL, the review work will be carried out across 2019. |

# Summary of evidence gap and research question

The summary should consider or address: What is the motivation for the article, and why does this subject warrant a review? Have there been recent reviews on the topic? If so, please list and reference them and describe what is novel about the proposed review. Is the evidence base suitable for research synthesis on this topic (i.e. sufficient literature to review)? What is the research question underpinning this review, and what are the specific objectives (or hypotheses) in the review?

# Summary of proposed methods

The methods summary should consider or address: Where is the appropriate evidence and knowledge located (e.g. peer-reviewed, grey literature)? How will you select the articles or documents that will be included in your review? If possible, please list keywords you will use in your search, and a tentative table or list of inclusion and exclusion criteria. How will you analyse, assess, or synthesize your selected articles?

# Expected outcomes

How many articles do you anticipate including in your review? What outcomes do you anticipate from the review?